

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JUAN CASTANO,

Defendants.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

8:15CR27

ORDER

This matter is before the court on defendant's unopposed motion to continue trial [51] . Counsel needs additional time to investigate, prepare for trial and explore plea negotiations. The defendant has previously complied with NECrimR 12.1(a). For good cause shown,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion to continue trial is granted, as follows:

1. The jury trial, currently set for March 29, 2016 is continued to **April 26, 2016**.

2. In accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), the court finds that the ends of justice will be served by granting this continuance and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Any additional time arising as a result of the granting of this motion, that is, the time between **today's date and April 26, 2016** shall be deemed excludable time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act. Failure to grant a continuance would deny counsel for the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B)(iv).

DATED March 17, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

**s/ F.A. Gossett
United States Magistrate Judge**